

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

---

**THADDEUS M. LIETZ,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Case No. 12-CV-0006**

**MICHAEL DITTMANN, SCOTT ECKSTEIN,  
CAPTAIN CHOJNACKI, MS. POWLESS, and  
KELLY MUESKE,**

**Defendants,**

---

**ORDER**

Now before me are several motions filed by plaintiff.

First, plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment. However, it was not signed, is not supported by any admissible evidence, and fails to comply with the local rules regarding motions for summary judgment. For all of these reasons, this motion will be denied.

Second, plaintiff filed a document captioned as both a motion to compel and a motion of extension. Again, plaintiff has not signed this document, but I will consider these non-dispositive requests on the merits even without a signature.

Plaintiff asks me to compel defendants to respond to his motion for summary judgment, even though they already have done so. Also, he asks me to accept the documents that were attached to his amended complaint as discovery or, alternatively, grant plaintiff an extension of sixty days because he is being held in the Outagamie County Jail and does not have the money or ability to mail discovery at this time. I will deny plaintiff's motion to compel because defendants responded to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and because I have no role in accepting previously filed documents as discovery responses. Generally, discovery is conducted by the parties without the involvement of the court.

However, it seems that plaintiff may have discovery responses he needs to send to defendants but he is unable to do so while incarcerated and is not sure he will be released before the current August 31 discovery deadline. To that end, I will grant plaintiff's motion of extension and provide new deadlines below for the close of discovery and the filing of dispositive motions. Therefore,

**IT IS ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Docket #17) is **DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion to compel (Docket #21) is **DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion of extension (Docket #21) is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that all requests for discovery shall be served by a date sufficiently early so that all discovery is completed no later than **Wednesday, October 31, 2012**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that dispositive motions are to be filed no later than **Monday, December 3, 2012**.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 15th day of August 2012.

s/ Lynn Adelman

---

LYNN ADELMAN  
District Judge